



# A persistent imbalance in HO x and NO x photochemistry of the upper troposphere driven by deep tropical convection

## Citation

Prather, Michael J., and Daniel J. Jacob. 1997. "A Persistent Imbalance in HO x and NO x Photochemistry of the Upper Troposphere Driven by Deep Tropical Convection." *Geophysical Research Letters* 24, no. 24: 3189–3192.

## Published Version

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/97GL03027>

## Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:14117804>

## Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

## Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.  
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

# A persistent imbalance in HO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> photochemistry of the upper troposphere driven by deep tropical convection

Michael J. Prather

Earth System Science, University of California at Irvine

Daniel J. Jacob

Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences, Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University

**Abstract.** Convection in the tropics turns over the upper troposphere at rates ( $0.08 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) comparable to photochemical processes controlling the absolute abundance of HO<sub>x</sub> (OH + HO<sub>2</sub>) and the abundance of NO<sub>x</sub> (NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) relative to HNO<sub>3</sub>. Here we identify convection of boundary-layer CH<sub>3</sub>OOH as a primary source of HO<sub>x</sub> to the upper troposphere. Turnover of NO<sub>x</sub> leads to NO/HNO<sub>3</sub> ratios much higher than predicted for local photochemical steady-state. Through convective transport the upper troposphere is more photochemically active in producing O<sub>3</sub>, an important greenhouse gas.

## Introduction

Deep convection in the tropics moves air from the marine and continental boundary layers rapidly to the upper troposphere [Emanuel, 1994]. Convective (anisotropic) plumes as diagnosed from a general circulation model were built into early chemistry-transport models [CTMs] [Russell and Lerner, 1981]. Such convective transports have been recognized as being important to tropospheric chemistry [Gidel, 1983; Chatfield and Crutzen, 1984; Ehhalt *et al.*, 1992; Liu *et al.*, 1997] through the transport of ozone and its precursors [Pickering *et al.*, 1992; Jacob *et al.*, 1993]. These works have shown the importance of convective transport in determining the upper tropospheric composition for relatively long-lived tracers such as radon or ozone [e.g., Liu *et al.*, 1984; Jacob *et al.*, 1997]. This paper focuses on the ability of convective transport to control even the rapid photochemical balance of HO<sub>x</sub> (OH + HO<sub>2</sub>) and NO<sub>x</sub> (NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) in the upper troposphere. Deep convection in the tropics flushes the upper tropical troposphere, brings in fresh sources of NO (e.g., from soils, combustion, lightning) and HO<sub>x</sub> reservoirs (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>OOH, CH<sub>2</sub>O), drives the photochemical balance away from local photochemical steady-state (LPSS), and increases the net production of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) in the upper troposphere. Specifically, we predict that convection of boundary-layer CH<sub>3</sub>OOH is a major source of HO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in the upper troposphere.

Convective mass fluxes on a global average are not readily measurable, even from meteorological analyses; and we adopt the convection statistics from the GISS general circulation model, version II-prime, which includes a significantly improved convection scheme [DelGenio and Yao, 1992; Rind and Lerner, 1996]. Figure 1 summarizes the deep, non-entraining convective flux over the equatorial region (12°S - 12°N) for May through August. The sigma-levels of the GISS GCM are shown along with the flux of air into and out of each layer in  $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Although these rates are equatorial averages,

similar values apply up to 30°N in summer. The effective turnover rates for each level are shown on the right: e.g., the lowest layers (999-870 hPa) are vented at a rate of 18% per day and the uppermost level from 11 to 17 km altitude (100-255 hPa) is turned over at a rate of 8% per day.

## Convection of Tracers

What does boundary-layer air bring to the upper troposphere? Cumulus transport from the surface to the 11-17 km region involves condensation of more than 99% of the water vapor and hence removal of a large fraction of soluble species such as HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and aerosols from uplifted air. To balance this source, uniform removal of species in the 11-17 km region occurs through convective turnover (i.e., net subsidence). What chemical species will be impacted by such turnover? Water vapor is controlled by convection but is not predictable in this framework. The long-lived gases like CH<sub>4</sub> and CO have tropospheric distributions determined by large-scale transport outside of the tropical troposphere and cannot be predicted within a model of vertical turnover. Likewise, O<sub>3</sub> in this 11-17 km region is controlled in part by transport from other regions (e.g., the stratosphere and other latitudes) not just from exchange with the boundary layer. Thus we fix the concentrations of these slowly varying chemicals by observation. The NO<sub>y</sub> family (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, HONO, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and the HO<sub>y</sub> family (OH, HO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>OOH, CH<sub>3</sub>OO, CH<sub>2</sub>O, HONO, HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>), however, are built up and destroyed in a matter of days; and thus their partitioning and absolute abundance may be readily impacted by convective turnover.

We expect that NO<sub>y</sub> injected into the upper troposphere by convection will be primarily in the form of NO<sub>x</sub> because HNO<sub>3</sub> will be rained out. Thus, loss of NO<sub>y</sub> in the upper tropical troposphere from convective turnover is assumed to be balanced by a fresh source of NO<sub>x</sub> (e.g., lightning or surface emissions). The HO<sub>y</sub> family is made photochemically from H<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>. Observations in the tropics show rapid decline with altitude in the concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>OOH, and CH<sub>2</sub>O, following the decline of H<sub>2</sub>O [Heikes *et al.*, 1996; Jacob *et al.*, 1996]. Concentrations of these species, which are the main components of the HO<sub>y</sub> family and the main reservoirs of HO<sub>x</sub> within this family, are typically one order-of-magnitude less in the upper troposphere than in the lower troposphere (Table 1). Convective pumping can thus provide a large source of HO<sub>x</sub> to the upper troposphere. Both CH<sub>3</sub>OOH and CH<sub>2</sub>O have sufficiently low Henry's Law solubilities [Betterson

and Hoffmann, 1988; Lind and Kok, 1994] that they should reach the upper troposphere without significant scavenging by convective precipitation. Chatfield and Crutzen [1984] have argued for the potential importance of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  convection, yet  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is highly soluble and a large fraction should be rained out. In our analysis we assume a scavenging efficiency of 75% for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in deep, wet convection.

An instructive model of the upper tropical troposphere (11–17 km) is built here as a single box ( $\sim 14$  km) that is ventilated by convective plumes and large-scale subsidence. For the  $\text{HO}_x$  reservoirs convection induces a net source proportional to the difference between the mean boundary-layer and upper-troposphere mixing ratios. Based on observations from the TRACE-A and PEM-West aircraft observations over the tropical Atlantic and tropical western Pacific, respectively [Gregory and Scott, 1995; Davis *et al.*, 1996; Heikes *et al.*, 1996; Jacob *et al.*, 1996], we estimate sources to the upper troposphere of 50–90 pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$ , 0–45 pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , and 15 pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for  $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$  (Table 1). Although  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is highly soluble, recent observations show some enhancements in convective outflows in the upper troposphere [Lee *et al.*, 1997], consistent with our adopted partial scavenging.

### Perturbations to $\text{HO}_x$ , $\text{NO}_x$ , and $\text{O}_3$ Tendency

In Table 1 we estimate the impact of these additional  $\text{HO}_x$  sources at 14 km by calculating  $\text{HO}_x$  yields (molecule of  $\text{HO}_x$  produced per reservoir molecule destroyed) from the two peroxides and formaldehyde, multiplying by the convective source, and then comparing with the known  $\text{HO}_x$  sources (pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) from oxidation of water vapor by  $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$  and from photolysis of acetone ( $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3$ ) [McKeen *et al.*, 1997].  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 42 ppmv and acetone at 300 pptv [Singh *et al.*, 1995] supply comparable sources. Convective injection of  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$  can double the source from water plus acetone. The source from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  would be even larger, but 75% scavenging leaves it just comparable to water and acetone. The source from  $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$  is insignificant.

With this representative box-model of the upper tropical troposphere, we calculate the impact of convective pumping on  $\text{NO}_y$  and  $\text{HO}_y$  partitioning using a series of sensitivity studies. As defined in Table 2, the model adopts typical conditions for 14 km altitude in the tropics. NO mixing ratios of 50 pptv are typical in the tropical upper troposphere (e.g., Figure 11 of Carroll *et al.*, [1992]). We constrain the 24-hour diel cycle to be in steady state with 50 pptv NO at noon by adjusting  $\text{NO}_y$  for local photochemical steady state (LPSS) or by specifying a NO source ( $S_{\text{NO}}$ ) for convective turnover (CNV). For LPSS  $\text{HNO}_3$  builds up to 70 pptv and OH to 0.31 pptv resulting in a NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$  ratio of 0.72. With convective turnover (CNV),  $S_{\text{NO}}$  equal to 5.90 pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$  is needed; the OH levels are essentially unchanged; but the  $\text{HNO}_3$  levels drop almost a factor of 3 increasing the NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$  ratio to 1.88. (At 12 km, this ratio shifts by a similar factor from 0.43 (LPSS) to 1.04 (CNV).) These larger ratios are in much better agreement with observations in the tropics [Davis *et al.*, 1996; Jacob *et al.*, 1996]. The lifetime for  $\text{NO}_x$  conversion to  $\text{HNO}_3$  ranges from 7 to 15 days for the cases in Table 2, and thus a convective turnover

time of 12.5 days significantly perturbs the LPSS. Ehhalt *et al.*'s [1992] convective model study of mid-latitude  $\text{NO}_x$  indicated that NO/ $\text{NO}_y$  ratios in the upper troposphere were far from steady state (i.e., their Figure 13).

The addition of a convective source of  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$  ( $S_{\text{HO}_y} = 90$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) boosts noontime OH by 20% and reduces the NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$  ratio to 1.42 (still a factor of 2 greater than LPSS). The further addition of other  $\text{HO}_y$  reservoirs ( $S_{\text{HO}_y} = 150$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1} = 90$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH} + 45$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 15$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ ) increases OH another 13% with similar change in NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$ . Without acetone the impact of convective pumping of  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}-\text{OH}$  is more pronounced and OH increases by 50%. At twice these NO levels, 100 pptv, the  $\text{HO}_x$  balance shifts to more OH and less  $\text{HO}_2$  with slightly smaller NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$  ratios; however, the relative impact of convective  $\text{HO}_y$  is similar to the standard case with 50 pptv NO.

Ozone production for a given NO concentration is proportional to  $\text{HO}_2$  concentration, and the net  $\text{O}_3$  tendency (ppb  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) increases substantially when  $\text{HO}_y$  reservoirs are convectively pumped to the upper troposphere as shown in Table 2. Because the chemical time scale for  $\text{O}_3$  change in the upper troposphere is over a month-long compared to the turnover time—we can use the instantaneous tendency multiplied by the turnover time (12.5 d) to estimate the incremental buildup. At 50 pptv NO and without acetone, the local photochemistry would accumulate an additional 8 ppbv  $\text{O}_3$  above background levels (as compared without NO). With acetone the buildup is 11 ppbv, and with convective pumping of  $\text{HO}_y$  reservoirs the increase could be as much as 16 ppbv. At 100 pptv NO the ozone increases are not twice as large since  $\text{HO}_2$  falls with increasing NO, but the ozone buildup could be as much as 24 ppbv! For all of the CNV cases in Table 2 the added effect of convecting  $\text{HO}_y$  reservoirs is about 5 ppbv additional ozone in the tropical upper troposphere as compared with a model that did not include convection of the  $\text{HO}_x$  precursors.

### Discussion

In summary, convective pumping of the upper tropical troposphere at rates predicted by general circulation models will lead to a persistent chemical imbalance. The shift of  $\text{NO}_y$  partitioning from LPSS is driven by convective turnover (i.e., removal of  $\text{NO}_y$  species and replacement by NO), but the  $\text{HO}_x$  levels and production of ozone are controlled by the convective sources of  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$  and possibly  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . There is clear evidence from measurements during the STRAT campaign [Wennberg *et al.*, 1997; Jaeglé *et al.*, 1997] that OH levels are often—but not always—much higher than can be explained by LPSS and are consistent with elevated levels of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$  (not measured on STRAT).

What could be wrong with this model assessment? First, we looked only at the mean altitude (14 km) in the upper tropics. This level is just above the TRACE and PEM-West flight altitudes and at the lower range of ER-2 data on  $\text{HO}_x$  [Wennberg *et al.*, 1997]. The impact of convective pumping is diluted as we go to lower altitudes: flushing rates are not so rapid, and local photochemistry is more active and less susceptible to convective turnover. The global importance of

convective pumping of peroxides and formaldehyde must be integrated with a 3-D chemical transport model. Second, convective outflow from the top of cumulus towers will shear into laminae and may remain chemically isolated for days before mixing with the background atmosphere. It is possible that HO<sub>y</sub> precursors may be more rapidly destroyed in these concentrated plumes; however, Jaeglé *et al.*, [1997] have shown that the elevated HO<sub>x</sub> levels in these convective plumes will take a week or more to decay in the upper troposphere because of recycling within the HO<sub>y</sub> family. Thus, the approximation here of the upper troposphere as a well mixed box may be a reasonable simulation of the mean state but, of course, is not adequate for comparison with observations.

How would this convective transport of HO<sub>y</sub> change our predictions of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> increases from aircraft and biomass burning? Convective transport of NO and HO<sub>x</sub> precursors is necessary in tropospheric chemistry models. The added source of HO<sub>x</sub> to the upper tropical troposphere makes it more likely that ozone production there is limited by the supply of NO<sub>x</sub> [e.g., Brasseur *et al.*, 1996]. Through such transports, the upper troposphere is more photochemically active and plays a greater role in controlling ozone, an important, anthropogenically perturbed, greenhouse gas.

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported by NASA's Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling & Analysis, Atmospheric Effects of Aviation, and Global Tropospheric Experiment Programs, and by NSF's Atmospheric Chemistry Program. MP thanks those at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Conference on Modelling of Global Climate Change & Variability, Hamburg, for the opportunity to discuss these ideas.


## References

- Betterton, E.A., and M.R. Hoffmann, Henry's Law constants of some environmentally important aldehydes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 22, 1415-1418, 1988.
- Brasseur, G.P., J.-F. Mueller, and C. Granier, Atmospheric impact of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by subsonic aircraft: a 3-D model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 1423-1428, 1996.
- Carroll, M.A., B.A. Ridley, D.D. Montzka, G. Hubler, J.G. Walega, R.B. Norton, B.J. Huebert, and F.E. Grahek, Measurements of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> during the Mauna Loa Observatory Photochemistry Experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 10361-10374, 1992.
- Chatfield, R.B. and P.J. Crutzen, Sulfur dioxide in remote ocean air: cloud transports of reactive precursors, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 7111-7132, 1984.
- Davis, D.D., and 21 others, Assessment of ozone photochemistry in the Western North Pacific as inferred from PEM-West A observations during the fall 1991, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 2111-2134, 1996.
- DelGenio, A.D., and M.-S. Yao, Efficient cumulus parameterization for long-term climate studies: the GISS scheme, in *Cumulus Parameterization*, AMS Monogr. Ser., edited by K. Emmanuel and D. Raymond, Am. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, Mass., 1992.
- DeMore, W. B., S. P. Sander, D. M. Golden, R. F. Hampson, M. J. Kurylo, C. J. Howard, A. R. Ravishankara, C. E. Kolb, and M. J. Molina, *Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in stratospheric modeling*, Publ. 94-26, Jet Propul. Lab., Pasadena, Calif., 1994.
- Ehhalt, D.H., F. Rohrer, and A. Wahner, Sources and distribution of NO<sub>x</sub> in the upper troposphere at northern mid-latitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 3725-3738, 1992.
- Emanuel, K.A., *Atmospheric Convection*, Oxford U. P., New York, 580 pp., 1994.
- Gidel, L.T., Cumulus cloud transport of transient tracers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 6587-6599, 1983.
- Gregory, G. L. and A. D. Scott, Jr., Compendium of NASA Data Base for the Global Tropospheric Experiment's Pacific Exploratory Mission West-B (PEM West-B). *NASA Technical Memorandum 110193*, 154 p., October, 1995.
- Heikes, B.G., M. Lee, D. Jacob, R. Talbot, J. Bradshaw, H. Singh, D. Blake, B. Anderson, H. Fuelberg, and A.M. Thompson, Ozone hydroperoxides, oxides of nitrogen, and hydrocarbon budgets in the marine boundary layer over the South Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 24221-24234, 1996.
- Jacob, D.J., J.A. Logan, G.M. Gardner, R.M. Yevich, C.M. Spivakovsky, S.C. Wofsy, J.M. Munger, S. Sillman, and M.J. Prather, Factors regulating ozone over the United States and its export to the global atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 14817-14826, 1993.
- Jacob, D.J., B.G. Heikes, S.-M. Fan, J.A. Logan, D.L. Mauzerall, J.D. Bradshaw, H.B. Singh, G.L. Gregory, R.W. Talbot, D.R. Blake, and G.W. Sachse, Origin of ozone and NO<sub>x</sub> in the tropical troposphere: a photochemical analysis of aircraft observations over the South Atlantic basin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 24235-24250, 1996.
- Jacob, D.J., M.J. Prather, P.J. Rasch, et al. Evaluation and inter-comparison of global atmospheric transport models using <sup>222</sup>Rn and other short-lived tracers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 5953-5970, 1997.
- Jaeglé, L., et al., Influence of convection on upper tropospheric OH and HO<sub>2</sub>, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, accepted, 1997.
- Lee, M., B.G. Heikes, and D.J. Jacob, Enhancements of hydroperoxides and formaldehyde in biomass burning impacted air and their effect on atmospheric oxidant cycles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1997.
- Lind, J.A., and G.L. Kok, Correction to "Henry's law determination of hydrogen peroxide, methyl hydroperoxide, and peroxyacetic acid", *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 21119, 1994.
- Liu, S.C., J.R. McAfee, and R.J. Cicerone, Radon 222 and tropospheric vertical transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86, 7210-7254, 1984.
- Liu, S.C., et al., Tropospheric vertical transport over the tropical pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1997.
- McKeen, S.A., P. Wennberg, T. Gierczak, J.B. Burkholder, E. Keim, A.R. Ravishankara, R. Gao, S.C. Liu, and D. Fahey, The photochemistry of acetone in the upper troposphere: a source of odd-hydrogen radicals, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 1997.
- Pickering, K.E. et al., Free tropospheric ozone production following entrainment of urban plumes into deep convection, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 17985-18000, 1992.
- Prather, M. and A. Jaffe, Global impact of the Antarctic ozone hole: chemical propagation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 3473-3492, 1990.
- Rind, D. and J. Lerner, Use of on-line tracers as a diagnostic tool in GCM development 1. Horizontal and vertical transport in the troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 12667-12683, 1996.
- Russell, G. and J. Lerner, A new finite differencing scheme for the tracer transport equation, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 20, 1483-1498, 1981.
- Singh, H.B., M. Kanakidou, P.J. Crutzen, and D.J. Jacob, High concentrations and photochemical fate of oxygenated hydrocarbons in the global troposphere, *Nature*, 378, 50-54, 1995.
- Wennberg, P., et al., HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and the production of ozone in the upper troposphere, *Science*, 1997.

M. J. Prather, Earth System Science Department, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3100. (e-mail: mprather@uci.edu)

D. J. Jacob, Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138. (e-mail: dj@europa.harvard.edu)

(Received May 31, 1997; revised September 15, 1997; accepted October 17, 1997)



**Figure 1.** Convective transport of boundary layer air to the equatorial upper troposphere (12°S-12°N) in the GISS II-prime general circulation model during May-June-July-August. Zonally averaged, upward convective fluxes ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) are shown. These are balanced by large-scale subsidence (not shown). Middle tropospheric GCM layers are not shown. The mean turnover rates ( $\% \text{d}^{-1}$ ) for air as a result of deep convection and subsidence are indicated for each blocked region.

**Table 1.**  $\text{HO}_x$  Precursors in the Upper Tropical Troposphere

	Tropospheric Concentrations <sup>a</sup>		$S_{\text{HO}_y}$ <sup>b</sup> pptv/d	Yield <sup>c</sup> v/v	$S_{\text{HO}_x}$ <sup>d</sup> pptv/d
	Lower	Upper			
$\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$ , pptv					
TRACE-A	1200	60	90	~1.4	125
PEM-WEST	820	200	50		70
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , pptv					
TRACE-A	2800	150	45	~1.4	65
PEM-WEST	1100	240	3		4
$\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ , pptv					
TRACE-A	200	<40	15	~0.7	10
$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , ppmv		42			50
$\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)CH}_3$ , pptv		300			85

<sup>a</sup> Concentrations of peroxides/formaldehyde based on tropical aircraft campaigns TRACE-A [Heikes et al., 1996; Jacob et al., 1996] and PEM-West A/B [B. G. Heikes reported by Gregory and Scott, 1995]. Data are averages of lower (0-4 km or marine boundary layer) and upper troposphere (8-12 km).

<sup>b</sup> Source of peroxides/formaldehyde in upper troposphere based on convective turnover of  $0.08 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Fig. 1).  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is soluble and scavenged (75%) from wet convective updrafts; negligible scavenging is expected for  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$  and  $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$  (much lower solubilities).

<sup>c</sup> Mean yield of  $\text{HO}_x$  per peroxide/formaldehyde molecule in the upper troposphere. Yields are 24-hour averages for high  $\text{HO}_x$  conditions at 14 km (CNV/standard with  $S_{\text{HO}_y} = 150$  in Table 2), including removal by convective turnover ( $0.08 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ).

<sup>d</sup> Source of  $\text{HO}_x$  in the upper troposphere (24-hr average) is the product of  $S_{\text{HO}_y}$  and  $\text{HO}_x$ -yield columns (peroxides/formaldehyde) or is modeled (water and acetone).

**Table 2.**  $\text{HO}_x$  levels and  $\text{NO}_x/\text{NO}_y$  balance in Upper Troposphere (noontime mixing ratios).

Chemical Model	$S_{\text{NO}}$ pptv/d	$S_{\text{HO}_y}$ pptv/d	NO pptv	$\text{NO}_2$ pptv	$\text{HNO}_3$ pptv	$\text{HNO}_4$ pptv	NO/ $\text{HNO}_3$	OH pptv	$\text{HO}_2$ pptv	$d\text{O}_3/dt$ ppbv/d
LPSS:	0	0	50	5.4	70	19	0.72	0.31	8.3	+0.94
CNV: standard	5.90	0	50	5.4	27	16	1.88	0.30	7.9	+0.90
	6.95	90	50	5.9	35	20	1.42	0.36	10.0	+1.15
	7.45	150	50	6.2	40	21	1.25	0.40	10.9	+1.24
CNV: no acetone	4.70	0	50	4.6	17	12	2.91	0.21	5.5	+0.61
	6.25	90	50	5.4	30	18	1.70	0.32	8.5	+0.96
	6.82	150	50	5.7	35	19	1.44	0.36	9.6	+1.08
CNV: 2 x NO	11.4	0	100	9.8	64	18	1.58	0.43	6.5	+1.40
	13.2	90	100	10.7	81	22	1.24	0.52	8.0	+1.78
	14.1	150	100	11.0	91	23	1.11	0.57	8.7	+1.95

Tropical atmosphere, equinoctial conditions at 14 km (156 hPa, 211 K,  $\text{H}_2\text{O} = 42$  ppmv (80% RH),  $\text{O}_3 = 60$  ppbv,  $\text{CH}_4 = 1700$  ppbv,  $\text{CO} = 100$  ppbv,  $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)CH}_3 = 300$  pptv, no aerosols, no PAN, no non-methane hydrocarbons other than acetone and methane oxidation products, overhead ozone column = 236 DU, surface albedo = 0.10). Photochemical box-model is a tropospheric version of Prather and Jaffe [1990; updated to JPL-94, DeMore et al., 1994] calculating diel cycles for  $\text{O}(^3\text{P})$ ,  $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ , H, OH,  $\text{HO}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{OO}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$ ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ , NO,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{NO}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ,  $\text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$ , and HONO, plus the average net photochemical tendency of ozone,  $d\text{O}_3/dt$ . Acetone photochemistry is taken from McKeen et al. [1997]. LPSS denotes local photochemical steady state (i.e., no convective "removal" of any species); CNV denotes convective turnover (removal) of species at  $0.08 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . The source of NO ( $S_{\text{NO}}$ , e.g., lightning, surface emissions) is chosen to maintain 50 or 100 pptv NO at noon. The  $\text{HO}_y$  convective sources are 0 (none), 90 (pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$ ), or 150 (90 pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{CH}_3\text{OOH} + 45$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 15$  pptv  $\text{d}^{-1}$   $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ ). Additional cases without acetone and with twice the NO are included.